

## **Victim of Mass Sexual Assault Doesn't Want You to Get the Wrong Idea**

She says the attack on her had nothing to do with religion. But is she right?

*Written by Robert Spencer – Front Page Magazine (January 30, 2025)*

On New Year's Eve, Dec. 31, 2024 in Milan's Piazza del Duomo, 40 Muslim migrants engaged in a frenzy of mass sexual assault of young women in the crowd to ring in the new year. Just under three weeks later, one of the victims, a 19-year woman from Britain, told the UK's Daily Mail about how horrified she was: not by the sexual assaults, but by those who were using them to push a "political agenda." This young woman was determined to set the record straight. In attempting to do so, she only confused the issue further.

The [Daily Mail](#) reported on Jan. 19, 2025 that an "organized" group had assaulted her, but instead of speaking out against sexual assault, she wanted to "dispel some of the disinformation being shared online and ensure our story is heard." The men had been reported as being migrants, but she claimed that some of the reporting about the incident had been false: "It is a total, blatant lie, to claim that our group were attacked by men holding Palestinian flags."

Full of righteous indignation, the victim, whom the Daily Mail called Imogen, continued: "I think it is disgusting that people would use our traumatic story to push a political agenda and I want people to understand that many of the descriptions I have read are wholly untrue. I will not allow my sexual attack to become an opportunity to divide people – it is a moment to unite women, and the people of Italy, in outrage that this was allowed to happen during a joyful celebration."

Imogen was especially angry that some reports had suggested that the attacks had to do with Islam: "I am so upset after reading many articles that claim it was a matter of religion, indirectly blaming Islam, whilst claiming our attack was 'Taharrush Gamea.'" That is a term referring to mass sexual assault that is considered acceptable in Islam, as it involves the seizing of infidel women.

The Milan victim, however, was adamant: "The evil we experienced that night was the absolute absence of religion. Those men had no motive but to take advantage of innocent women, knowing they would escape without suffering the consequences. It was not in the name of religion, it was not in the name of political activism, it was an act of vocalized disrespect towards the Italian people and their principles."

Imogen also insisted that she had done nothing to "provoke" the perpetrators: "I dress modestly. I am tall, strong and did not encourage my attackers. Sexual assault is random. We are not to blame and I could never have expected our attack." She said that "this is also not a reflection of all Bangladeshi people, or people non-native to Italy."

All right. Imogen is reflecting what she has been taught in shattered, staggering, dhimmi Britain throughout her nineteen years, but it is unlikely to have been reflected in any of the mob's behavior that night.

It is doubtful that anyone paused and told her, “We’re not doing this because of Islam, you know.” Nor is it likely that Imogen knows the first foggiest thing about Islam, or actually has an informed opinion about the motives of her attackers. It is testimony to the power of leftist indoctrination that after all she suffered, she thinks it necessary to speak out in order to exonerate Islam.

Note that the Daily Mail, the worst paper in the Western world, is only too happy to give Imogen space to do this, but if, on the other hand, she had said: “My attackers were motivated by Islam,” the Mail would have suddenly lost interest.

Questions remain. Such treatment of infidel women is sanctioned in the Qur’an.

In France, a Muslim [quoted Qur’an](#) while raping his victim. A survivor of a Muslim rape gang in the UK has [said](#) that her rapists would quote the Qur’an to her, and believed their actions justified by Islam. Thus it came as no surprise when Muslim migrants in France raped a girl and videoed the rape [while praising Allah](#) and invoking the Qur’an. In India, a Muslim [gave a Qur’an and a prayer rug](#) to the woman he was holding captive and repeatedly raping. And the victim of an Islamic State jihadi rapist [recalled](#): “He told me that according to Islam he is allowed to rape an unbeliever. He said that by raping me, he is drawing closer to God...He said that raping me is his prayer to God.”

[In India](#), a Muslim kidnapped and raped a 14-year-old Hindu girl, and forced her to read the Qur’an and Islamic prayers. In Pakistan, another Christian woman [recounted](#) that her rapist was also religious: “He threw me on the bed and started to rape me. He demanded I marry him and convert to Islam. I refused. I am not willing to deny Jesus and he said that if I would not agree he would kill me.” Rapists demanded that another girl’s family turn her over to them, [claiming](#) that she had recited the Islamic profession of faith during the rape and thus could not live among infidels.

The Qur’an teaches that Infidel women can be lawfully taken for sexual use (cf. its allowance for a man to take “captives of the right hand,” 4:3, 4:24, 23:1-6, 33:50, 70:30). The Qur’an says: “O prophet, tell your wives and your daughters and the women of the believers to draw their veils close around them. That will be better, so that they may be recognized and not molested. Allah is always forgiving, merciful.” (33:59) The implication there is that if women do not cover themselves adequately with their outer garments, they may be abused, and that such abuse would be justified.

Is Imogen aware of any of this? Is the Daily Mail? Would they speak about it even if they were? The answer in both cases is obvious.